IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No. 12446 of 2005

Date of Decision: December 21, 2006

Advitya Khurana and others

…..Petitioners

Vs.

Ch. Devi Lal University, Sirsa and another

…..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondents.

-.-

VINEY MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents informs the Court that petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 have already resigned, and therefore, the writ petition qua the aforesaid persons has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid statement, the writ petition on behalf of petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the respondent University further states that a regular selection process has already been initiated by the respondents and it has also been decided by the University that the services of petitioners No.2, 5 and 6 shall not be dispensed with till the completion of the present academic session i.e. 2006-2007 or till the new selectees join, whichever is earlier. Mr. Gupta further states that the University ahs also decided that no fresh contract/ guest employee shall be taken in place of the present petitioners No.2, 5 and 6. The aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents fully satisfies Mr.R.K. Malik, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid fact, the writ petition qua petitioners No.2, 5 and 6 is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 21, 2006
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE